

Bernice Stroh INTRODUCTORY CHEM-LAB (CHEM1105 51231S)

BC Survey - Results 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S), INTRODUCTORY CHEM-LAB

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) survey results for "INTRODUCTORY CHEM-LAB (CHEM1105 51231S)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Bernice Stroh 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) INTRODUCTORY CHEM-LAB (CHEM1105 51231S) No. of responses = 1



Survey Results 3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments strongly disagree strongly agree av.=5 were clear. md=550 dev.=0 5 The workload in this course was well distributed n=1 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=5 md=5 throughout the term. 50 dev.=0 5 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=1 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=5 md=5 50 dev.=0 Assessments/exams were based on material strongly disagree n=1 strongly agree covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=4 md=4 group activities, etc. 50 dev.=0 The instructor made learning relevant to my field of strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4 md=4 study, career, or degree. dev.=0 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=1 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4 methods (examples: group discussions, student md=4 presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=0 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=1 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4 assignments. md=4 50 dev.=0 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, strongly disagree strongly agree treated students respectfully, and used appropriate av.=5 md=5 language during class.

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.	strongly disagree	50	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	strongly agree	n=1 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.	strongly disagree	50	0%	0%	0% 3	0%	100%	strongly agree	n=1 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:									
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.	Very Dissatisfied	100 50 0	0%	0%	0%	0%	5	Very Satisfied	n=1 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
5.	Course Recommendation:									
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	Very Unlikely	100 50	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	Very Likely	n=1 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
7.	Student Demographics:									
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your degr	ree or certific	ate?							
									100%	n=1
	0 - 30 completed credits 31 - 60 completed credits							0%		
									0%	
	61 - 90 completed credits 91 + completed credits							0%		
7.2)	This course is instructed as:									
		e-to-face course							100%	n=1
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and or								0%	
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at	a specific time)							0%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course mater	ials at any time)							0%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:									
	5 or less credit hours 6 - 8 credit hours 9 - 11 credit hours							100%	n=1	
							0%			
							0%			
	12 or m	ore credit hours							0%	

7.4) My current major is:								
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0%	n=1						
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	0%							
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	0%							
Associate of Science (AS)	100%							
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	0%							
Certificate in a technical area	0%							
^{7.5)} I am currently a high school student taking college courses.								
Yes	0%	n=1						
No (100%							

Comments Report

6. Open Response:

- 6.1) What are the strengths of this course?
- The class had a very easy structure to follow. We had one prelab assignment, the lab, and post lab every week. This made it very manageable.
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- The lab Manuel can be confusing so I would recommend either using a different one.
- ^{6.3)} Any further, constructive comments?
- None.